1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## HONORABLE BARBARA J ROTHSTEIN

MD 01-01407 500001781

entered FILED LODGED

MAY 0 2 2003

AT SEATTLE

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTAN

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

IN RE Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products ) Liability Litigation

This document relates to all actions

No MDL 1407

<del>PROPOSED1</del> CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER REGARDING CONDUCTING OF EXPERT WITNESS TRIAL PRESERVATION DEPOSITIONS (cmo No. 14

The following Order shall govern the conduct of trial preservation depositions of expert witnesses noticed pursuant to Section II F 2 of the Court's Case Management Order regarding Expert Witness Discovery filed December 23, 2003

1 A party noticing an expert witness for preservation deposition ("noticing party") shall reserve two dates for completion of the preservation deposition, with seven hours reserved for examination on the first day and five hours reserved for examination on the The dates reserved shall be stated in the Notice of Deposition, along with the expected length of the direct examination. To the extent practicable, counsel for noticing party shall consult with opposing counsel in an effort to schedule the deposition at times convenient to the witness and counsel for the parties The Court shall resolve any deposition scheduling issues that Lead Counsel or their designees are unable to resolve

2 The testimony elicited on direct examination of the expert witness shall be limited to the opinions and bases and reasons therefor contained in the expert's written report pursuant to Rule 26(a)(2)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Any direct testimony

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER **REGARDING EXPERT WITNESS DEPOSITIONS - 1** Case No MDL 1407 019186 0028/1006680 1

LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP SUITE 4100 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101 (206) 223-7000



regarding an opinion beyond the scope of the expert's report shall be subject to an appropriate motion to strike, and any party against whom the expert's opinion is directed ("adverse party") shall reserve the right to cross-examine the expert witness on such opinion after a ruling on the motion to strike is obtained

- 3 Ten calendar days prior to the first day of the preservation deposition, the noticing party shall disclose to all adverse parties all documents and trial exhibits to be used during direct examination of the witness at deposition, including without limit any demonstrative exhibit or any exhibit summarizing other evidence
- Testimony elicited from the expert witness on cross-examination may be used by any adverse party as if counsel for that adverse party had conducted the cross-examination, and accordingly an adverse party may not duplicate the cross-examination previously conducted by another adverse party

SO ORDERED this 30<sup>T</sup> day of April, 2003

Honorable Barbara Jacobs Rothstein United States District Court Judge

Presented by

LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP

20

21

25

26

D Joseph Hurson WSBA No 09296 Liaison Counsel for MDL Defendants

**S**BA No. 18141

Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel

LEVINSON, FRIEDMAN, P

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER **REGARDING EXPERT WITNESS DEPOSITIONS - 2** 

Case No MDL 1407 019186 0028/1006680 1

LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP **SUITE 4100** 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101 (206) 223-7000